

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/003,465	12/06/2001	Stephen J. Cutler	43257	5845
1609	7590 02/12/2004		EXAMINER	
ROYLANC	CE, ABRAMS, BERDO &	PRYOR, ALTON NATHANIEL		
1300 19TH STREET, N.W. SUITE 600		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	ON,, DC 20036	1616		

DATE MAILED: 02/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A 12 42 A1	A 11 4/ 1				
	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/003,465	CUTLER ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Alton N. Pryor	1616				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE - External after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 November 2003.						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□	Claim(s) 1-14 and 16-31 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) 27-31 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,2,5,7,8,16 and 18-24 is/are rejected.						
8)□							
Applicati	ion Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceedable and acceed applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the bedrewing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen		_					
2) Notice 3) Inform	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,2,16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Stom (Acta hydrochim. Hydrobiol. Vol 5, 1977, no. 3, pp.291-298.). Stom teaches a method of treating aquatic plants (Dunaliella salina, Nitella sp., and Elodea Canadensis) with a composition comprising p-benzoquinone. Stom teaches that p-benzoquinone is toxic to the aquatic plants.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5,7,8,18-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stom as applied to claims 1,2,16 above. See 35 USC 102(b) rejection above. Stom teaches all that is recited in claims 5,7,8,18-84 except for the invention comprising algae, the instant amount of benzoquinone, and the exposure time of plants to benzoquinone. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to employ algae in the prior art invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/003,465

Art Unit: 1616

One would have been motivated to do this since algae are species in the plant genus. With respect to amount or concentration of benzoquinone and exposure time of plant to benzoquione, one would have optimized the invention during routine experimentation. One would have been motivated to do this in order to develop an invention that would have effective in controlling aquatic plant growth.

Claim Objection / Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3,4,6,9-14,17,25,26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The prior art does not teach or suggest killing the target aquatic pests of these claims by applying an instant benzoquinone compound to the water infected with the pests of in these claims. Claims 27-31 are allowable for reasons on record.

Telephonic Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alton N. Pryor whose telephone number is 571-272-0621. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman Page can be reached on 703-308-2927. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/003,465

Art Unit: 1616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alton PIPAIMARY EXAMINER

AU 1616